Pull-out strength of endodontically treated teeth restored with glass fiber posts of different diameters
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ABSTRACT

Objective
To evaluate the pull-out strength of endodontically treated teeth restored with glass fiber posts through different techniques in enlarged conduits.

Methods
A total of 15 bovine teeth were endodontically treated, divided into three groups. Group 1- restored with number 1 glass fiber posts, Group 2- restored with number 3 glass fiber posts, Group 3- restored with number 3 glass fiber posts associated with accessory glass fiber posts. The pins in all groups were cemented with same self-adhesive resin cement. All test specimens were subjected to the pull-out strength test in the testing machine at a speed of 0.5mm per minute and the results obtained in kilogram-force.

Results
The pull-out strength values were as follows: group 1 - 24.47 kilograms-force; group 2 - 25.28 kilograms-force; group 3 - 23.59 kilograms-force. The following standard deviations were observed: 4.33 kilograms-force for group 1, 4.03 kilograms-force for group 2 and 8.39 kilograms-force for group 3. No statistically significant differences between groups were found using the ANOVA test.

Conclusion
The decrease in the thickness of the cement film by using pins of larger diameter and/or accessories, does not interfere with the retention and stability prediction of the rehabilitation of the complex.

Indexing terms: Endodontics. Tensile strength. Post and core technique.

RESUMO

Objetivo
Avaliar a resistência à tração de dentes tratados endodonticamente restaurados com glass fiber posts através de diferentes técnicas com conduits alargados.

Métodos
Foram utilizados 15 dentes bovinos, tratados endodonticamente, sendo distribuídos em 3 grupos. Grupo 1- restaurados com pino de fibra de vidro número 1, Grupo 2- restaurados com pino de fibra de vidro número 3, Grupo 3- restaurados com pino de fibra de vidro número 3 associados com pinos acessórios. Os pinos de todos os grupos foram cimentados com o mesmo cimento resinoso auto-adesivo. Os espécimes foram submetidos ao teste de resistência à tração na máquina de ensaios a uma velocidade de 0,5 milímetros por minuto e os resultados obtidos em quilograma-força.

Resultados
Os valores de resistência a tração obtidos foram: Grupo 1 - 24,47 quilograma-força; Grupo 2 - 25,28 quilograma-força; Grupo 3 - 23,59 quilograma-força. Foram verificados os seguintes desvios-padrão: 4,33 quilograma-força para o Grupo 1, 4,03 quilograma-força para o Grupo 2 e 8,39 quilograma força para o Grupo 3. Sem diferença estatística significante entre os grupos pelo teste de ANOVA.

Conclusão
A diminuição da espessura da película de cimento com o uso de pinos de maior diâmetro e/ou acessórios, não interfere de maneira efetiva no prognóstico da retenção e estabilidade do complexo reabilitador.


¹ Universidade Federal do Pará, Faculdade de Odontologia. Rua Augusto Corrêa, 1, Guamá, 66075-110, Belém, PA, Brasil. Correspondência para / Correspondence to: WRS PINTO. E-mail: <welytonramon@hotmail.com>.
The aim of this study was to evaluate the pull-out strength of teeth treated endodontically with enlarged conduits restored with glass fiber posts of different diameters, in conjunction, or not, with accessory posts, and using the same dual polymerized cement.

**METHODS**

15 clean bovine central incisors were used with a periodontal curette (Trinity, São Paulo, Brazil), then sterilized in autoclave and stored in distilled water at a temperature of 37°C, prior to and during the course of the experiment, thereby preventing dehydration. The specimens were marked 17mm from the root apex, corresponding to the mean length of the roots of the human upper central incisors and cut transversely with a double-faced diamond disc (KG Sorensen, São Paulo, Brazil), eliminating the crown and a part of the root. The cervical surfaces were filed using sandpaper under refrigeration, in order to obtain a surface perpendicular to the long axis of the tooth.

The teeth were treated endodontically using conventional techniques with the working length of 16mm, and cervical preparation being carried out with Gates-Glidden and Largo (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) drill bits, numbers 1 and 2, instrumentation up to K-file no. 80 (Dentsply Ind e Com., Petrópolis, Brazil), irrigation with 10ml of 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (Líquido de Dakin, Iodontosul, Porto Alegre, Brazil), and 10ml of 17% EDTA-T (Inodon, Porto Alegre, Brazil) as a chemical substance to assist with the final irrigation for 60 seconds. Subsequently, they were filled with no. 80 primary gutta-percha cones and accessories (Dentsply Ind e Com., Petrópolis, Brazil) and endodontically cemented (Sealer 26, Dentsply Ind e Com., Petrópolis, Brazil), using the lateral and vertical condensation technique, temporarily sealed (Coltosol - Vigodent, Bonsucesso, Brazil), and then stored for 24 hours in distilled water in an oven at 37°C.

The removal of the gutta-percha from the canals was performed using Largo drill bits nos. 3 and 4 (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) until 5mm of filling material was obtained in the apical region, followed by vertical condensation for the adaption of the filling to the canal. The root conduit was then expanded using drill bit 4137 (KG Sorensen, São Paulo, Brazil) as far as the active body. The 15 teeth were inserted into PVC tubes 40mm long and 12mm wide with self-polymerizing colorless acrylic resin
(Vipi Flash, Pirassununga, Brazil), so that the long axis of the roots was perpendicular to the horizontal plane and centered in the block.

The present study was evaluated and approved by the Ethics Committee in Research with Animals (CEPAN) at the Evandro Chagas institute, approval opinion (Nº 008/2010/CEPAN/IEC/SVS/MS) under procedural record CEPAN - 002/2010.

Composition of the groups

The 15 test specimens, already with the filling removed to 5mm and enlarged, were divided at random depending on the restoration technique to be applied.

Group 1 - comprising five test specimens restored using a primary no. 1 glass fiber post (Reforpost - Ângelus, Londrina, Brazil). The specimens were irrigated with 17% EDTA for 1 minute and then washed copiously with distilled water, the excess being removed with absorbent paper cones. The posts were degreased using 96% alcohol, and subsequently applied with silane (Ângelus, Londrina, Brazil) over the entire surface with the help of a brush. After waiting for one minute, the silanized posts were gently air dried. For the cementation, the self-adhesive resinous cement RelyX U100 (3M, Sumaré, Brazil) was selected. Manipulation took place in equal proportions, in accordance with manufacturer’s recommendations, and with the assistance of an insertion spatula (Duflex, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). The post, covered in a layer of cement, was inserted into the conduit with the help of surgical pliers with cement being added to the conduit, so as to obtain the total filling of the conduit. After removing the excess cement, the test specimens were photopolymerized for 60 seconds.

Group 2 - comprising five test specimens restored with no. 3 primary glass fiber post (Reforpost - Ângelus, Londrina, Brazil). The cementation protocol followed that used for group 1.

Group 3 - comprising five test specimens restored with no. 3 primary glass fiber post (Reforpost - Ângelus, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil) connected to two accessory glass fiber posts (Reforpin - Ângelus, Londrina, Brazil). The cementation protocol followed that used for the two previous groups, both for the primary post and for the accessory posts.

The test specimens for all 3 groups were stored in an oven containing distilled water, at 37ºC, for 24 hours. Once this period of time had elapsed, a pull-out strength test was performed on the 15 test specimens in a testing machine (KRATOS-IKCL-USB), at a speed of 0.5mm/min. The samples were placed in the machine on a device developed to eliminate lateral forces, so as to allow the axis of traction to remain equal all along the tooth axis. After the rupture, the Kgf values were noted and then sent for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

The presented values were ordered and tabulated, from which the groups’ arithmetic means and standard deviations were obtained. The data obtained were subjected to the Lilliefors test, in which the normality of the sample was checked. They were then analyzed using the ANOVA variance by means of a criterion, in which no significant difference between the groups was found, with p=0.9034.

Table 1: Values, mean and standard deviation of pull-out strength of the test specimens, in Kgf (kilogram-force).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
<th>G3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>19.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>20.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>23.27</td>
<td>23.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>17.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23.15</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>38.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>24.47±4.33</td>
<td>25.28±4.03</td>
<td>23.59±8.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Group 1: Restored with primary post no. 1.
DISCUSSION

The success of the retention of the post to the root canal is measured by a series of factors. To eliminate any kind of influence from the cementation technique, the same cementing agent was chosen, namely self-adhesive RelyX U100, due to the fact that it presents the same adhesive resistance values for all of the thirds\textsuperscript{13}, since the adhesive resistance between the dentine walls and the cementing agent is affected by the distribution of the cement along the cervical, medial and apical thirds during the cementation of glass fiber posts\textsuperscript{14}. The weakest link of the adhesive bond is the region at the dentine-cement interface, with defects being found in between 50\% and 70\% of those that commonly occur\textsuperscript{15}. Despite the adhesion between the root dentine and the cementing agent being characterized as the most sensitive bond in the cementation of glass fiber posts, the post/cement and the post/dental core interfaces also require attention\textsuperscript{16}.

The application of silane was used as the bonding agent since the coverage of the post with silane exhibits the highest retention values when compared to other types of surface treatment of the post\textsuperscript{12}. Another important factor for retention is provided by the use of a double polymerization system of adhesion. The resin cement is perhaps not the most important factor for the retention of glass fiber posts in the root canal, as they have similar physical and mechanical properties, but more the use of a double polymerization system of adhesion, seeing as this system has the ability to penetrate into the dentinal tubules and to bond chemically and mechanically with the glass fiber post\textsuperscript{4}.

Due to the need to evaluate if the increase in the post diameter and the use or non-use of accessories interferes with the retention of the glass fiber posts, the aim of the present study was to test the pull-out strength of teeth that are endodontically treated with enlarged conduits, restored using different techniques. In the absence of any significant difference between the values found in our study, it may be said that the use of glass fiber posts of different diameters and the use or non-use of accessories in the reconstruction of teeth treated endodontically with enlarged conduits, does not interfere significantly with the pull-out strength of intra-radicular forces. This statement is corroborated by other studies that confirm that the increase in the diameter of the post does not represent a significant difference in the increase in retention and moreover makes the tooth remnant more...
fragile\textsuperscript{17-19}, seeing that the resin cements present adequate retention and resistance even on thicker layers in enlarged conduits\textsuperscript{20}.

The results obtained in this study can also be vouched for by the study in which the pull-out strength was evaluated of teeth restored with glass fiber posts enlarged with drill bits of different diameters and cemented with the same system of adhesion and cementing agent, with no significant statistical differences being found, which also indicated that the adaptation of the fiber posts in the root conduits is not essential for their retention due to the use of resin cements. Therefore, this study supports the idea of the applicability of glass fiber posts in enlarged conduits, in which a thicker layer of cement can be observed, possibly explained by an increase in the dentine surface in contact with the cement\textsuperscript{15}. The same results were obtained when evaluating the effects of alterations in the film of resin cement with prefabricated posts and conduits of different diameters\textsuperscript{21} and also in the evaluation of the retention of teeth restored with different reinforcements, using the same cement, where there was no significant difference between the groups analyzed. Accordingly, the hypothesis that the use of glass fiber-based accessory posts would reduce the line of cementation and consequently promote better mechanical resistance of the dental retainer/structure\textsuperscript{5,22-24} system, was not proven.

Studies can be found in the literature that contradict the results of this research, and despite other studies not obtaining statistically significant differences, the use of a primary post in conjunction with accessories is argued as they point to greater pull-out strength values than the restored teeth with just one primary glass fiber post or with cast metal\textsuperscript{5}. This proposal is underpinned by the idea that this connection works like a single entity that provides an improvement in the adaptation to the prepared conduit and reduces the thickness of the cementing agent, to the detriment of the lack of adaptation of the post in relation to the conduit walls, resulting in a greater need for resin cement, bringing an increase in stress at the adhesive interface during the contraction of polymerization\textsuperscript{5,22}. Prefabricated posts are not ideal as their adaptation is not perfect and the thick layer of the post produces an unfavorable prognosis\textsuperscript{25}. However, prefabricated posts adapt well only in the apical third of the canal, exhibiting poor adaptation in the cervical third, depending on a large quantity of cement to hold the post in place\textsuperscript{26}.

The production of anatomic posts and the use of accessory posts are recommended as they provide a thin cement layer between the post and the conduit wall, promoting retention and preventing adhesive defects, since it increases the amount of fibers\textsuperscript{23-24}.

Despite clinical concerns being greater over the stability of the retainers in the root canals due to the higher failure rates, on account of the ineffective retention of the posts in the root conduit, it is important to underline the resistance to the fracture of endodontically treated teeth with enlarged conduits restored using glass fiber posts. When comparing cast metal cores, primary glass fiber posts, primary glass fiber posts wrapped in a matrix of glass fiber tapes, primary fiber posts allied to accessories and anatomic posts, higher fracture resistance values were found in the groups with cast metal core and those joined to accessory posts\textsuperscript{26}, with the most favorable fracture patterns being found in teeth restored with glass fiber accessory posts\textsuperscript{25}. These results may be explained by the combination of a primary glass fiber post with a variety of accessories in order to produce clinically a better biomechanical behavior in dental structures, by virtue of the reduction in the thickness of the cement line and the use of a larger quantity of material elastically similar to dentine, thereby reducing the rate of catastrophic fracture\textsuperscript{27}.

**CONCLUSION**

Glass fiber posts are shown to be the best choice for the reconstruction of teeth treated endodontically with enlarged conduits. The reduced thickness of the cement film with the use of posts with larger diameters and/or accessories does not effectively interfere with the prognosis of retention and stability of the rehabilitative complex. Therefore, to attain effective adhesiveness, factors such as adhesive choice and the cementing agent require greater caution.
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